# Adaptive radar sensing strategies

#### A.Hero Univ. of Michigan – Ann Arbor

1st Year Review, AFRL, 09/07 AFOSR MURI

Integrated fusion, performance prediction, and sensor management for ATE (PI: R. Moses)

# Outline

- ATE Vision and Research Approach
- Sequential Resource Allocation
  - Sequential Waveform Design for ATE
- Structured Dimensionality Reduction (preliminary)
- Information items
  - Synergistic Activities
  - SM book to appear
  - Publications

### I. ATE Vision and Research Approach

- ATE: Integration of modeling, inference, planning
  - Posterior density structure determination and modeling
  - On-line inference and performance prediction
  - Optimized action selection
- Limitations:
  - Presence of sensor calibration errors
  - Complex noise and clutter limited environment
  - High measurement/scene dimensionality
- Components of research approach
  - Sequential resource allocation
    - Planning-optimized inference and Inference optimized planning
    - Sequential waveform design for ATE
  - Topological/structural modeling
    - Intrinsic dimensionality estimation
    - Structured dimensionality reduction
    - System feasibility analysis
  - Volumetric imaging and inverse scattering
    - Exploit target sparsity in imaging volume
    - Monotone sparsity-penalized iterative Born approximation
    - Scatterer confidence mapping
    - Action contingent performance prediction



Agile Multi-Static Radar system illustration



#### Multistage adaptive SAR Image Acquisition

Images available at Sandia National Laboratories webs



## **II. Sequential Waveform Design**



- Divide problem into two sub-problems:
  - 1. How to distribute energy over space (waveform shape design)?
  - 2. How to distribute energy over time (waveform amplitude design)?
- First we focus on 2

#### Sequential Waveform Design: Scalar Linear Model



Estimation in linear models *N*-step measurement process:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{y}_1 &=& \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_1)\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{n}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 &=& \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_2(\mathbf{y}_1))\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{n}_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_N &=& \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_N(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{N-1}))\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{n}_N \end{array}$$

- Unknown parameter vector:  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1, \theta_2 \dots, \theta_M]^T$
- Average energy constraint:  $E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\mathbf{x}_i(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{i-1})\|^2\right] \leq E_0$
- Design x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>(y<sub>1</sub>), x<sub>3</sub>(y<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>), ..., x<sub>N</sub>(y<sub>1</sub>, ..., y<sub>N-1</sub>) to maximize performance

• Compare to standard peak and average power constraints, e.g. Schweppe or Kershaw.

F. C. Schweppe and D. L. Gray, "Radar signal design subject to simultaneous peak and average constraints," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. IT-12, pp. 13–26, 1966.

D. J. Kershaw and R. J. Evans, "Optimal waveform selection for tracking systems," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 1536–1550, 1994.

\* R. Rangarajan etal, IEEE Journ Select. Topics in SP, July 2007. A. Hero, AFOSR MURI Review 09/07

## 1. How to distribute energy over time?

• Without feedback, performance of the optimal estimator/detector only depends on time averaged transmitted energy E0

MSE=1/SNR, where SNR= $\frac{E_0}{\sigma^2}$ 

any energy allocation strategy is as good as any other.

• With feedback, performance of the optimal estimator/detector depends on energy allocation over time

adaptive allocation strategy can provide enhanced performance

• Q. Given N time slots for transmission, how to select sequence of transmitted rms amplitudes to maximize optimal estimator performance?



Uniform energy allocation

### **Optimal 2-step solution**

• 2 step observation sequence

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\theta_1 + \mathbf{n}_1$$
$$\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_2(\mathbf{y}_1))\theta_1 + \mathbf{n}_2.$$

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \sqrt{E_0} \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_m$$
$$\mathbf{x}_2(\mathbf{y}_1) = \sqrt{E_0} \alpha_2(\mathbf{y}_1) \mathbf{v}_m$$

• MLE based on 2 step observations is

$$\hat{\theta}_{1}^{(2)} = \frac{\mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1})^{H}\mathbf{y}_{1} + \mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{2})^{H}\mathbf{y}_{2}}{\|\mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1})\|^{2} + \|\mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{2})\|^{2}}$$

- MSE of MLE is  $MSE^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = E\left[\frac{|\mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_1)^H \mathbf{n}_1 + \mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_2)^H \mathbf{n}_2|^2}{(||\mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_1)||^2 + ||\mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{x}_2)||^2)^2}\right]$
- Objective: find amplitudes  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2(y_1)$  that minimize MSE subject to constraint  $E\left[\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2(\mathbf{y}_1)\right] \leq 1$ .

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\frac{|\mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1})^{H}\mathbf{n}_{1} + \mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{2})^{H}\mathbf{n}_{2}|^{2}}{(\|\mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{1})\|^{2} + \|\mathbf{h}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{2})\|^{2})^{2}}\right] + \gamma\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} + \mathbf{E}\left[\alpha_{2}^{2}(\mathbf{y}_{1})\right]\right)$$

### Omniscient 2-step Strategy Optimal soft threshold

• If parameter  $\theta$  is known and **h** is linear, then optimal strategy is soft thresholding

$$\alpha_2^* = \alpha_1^* \sqrt{g\left(\frac{\mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{v}_m)^H \mathbf{n}_1}{\mathbf{h}_1(\|\mathbf{v}_m)\|\sigma} - 1\right)} = \mathbf{a}_1^*$$

• Where g is solution to

$$g^{3} - \frac{1}{\gamma'}g + 2\frac{1 - |\tilde{n}_{1}|^{2}}{\gamma'} = 0$$

$$\gamma' = \gamma \alpha_1^2 \|\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v}_m)\|^2 / \sigma^2 \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{h}_1(\mathbf{v}_m)^H \mathbf{n}_1}{\mathbf{h}_1(\|\mathbf{v}_m)\|\sigma}$$

• Omniscient 2-step performance



Subptimal hard threshold

- Design  $E_1, E_2(\mathbf{y}_1)$  optimally.
- $E_1 \approx 0.55 E_0$ .
- $E[E_2(\mathbf{y}_1)] \approx 0.45 E_0.$
- MSE<sub>2</sub> ≈
   0.68/SNR =0.68 MSE<sub>1</sub>.
- About 1.6dB gain in performance.

# From 2 steps to Nx2 steps

- Perform N independent 2-step experiments allocating energy  $E_0/N$  to each of the N experiments.
- At each step form ML estimate  $\hat{\theta}^{(k)}$  of parameter  $\theta$
- When N-fold experiment terminates, form global estimate

$$\widehat{\theta}^{(2N)} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{k=1}^{2N} \widehat{\theta}^{(k)}$$

• The MSE of the global estimate will satisfy (here z denotes  $\theta$ )

 $MSE^{(2N)}(z) \times SNR^{(2N)}(z) = MSE^{(2)}(z/\sqrt{N})SNR^{(2)}(z/\sqrt{N})$ 

• And as N goes to infinity the minimal MSE is acheived

$$MSE^{(2N)}(z) \times SNR^{(2N)}(z) \rightarrow \eta^*$$

# Nx2 Step Adaptive Gain



#### Highlights

- Optimal two-step strategy: 1.67dB gain
- Suboptimal *N*-strategy: over 5dB gain
- Closed-form solutions to design parameters
  - Easily implementable
- Closed-form expressions for error and achievable performance

## Nx2 Step Residual Error Distribution



# Discussion

- Take-home message: we predict that adaptively distributing transmit energy over time achieves significant performance gains
- Equivalently, for given level of system performance can reduce acquisition time
- Suboptimal hard thresholding approximation to optimal soft thresholding entails only minor loss in performance.
- Computational complexity is linear in number of steps N
   A. Hero, AFOSR MURI Review 09/07

### 2. How to distribute energy over space?

- Set of all cells  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, Q\}$
- ROI  $\Psi \subseteq \mathcal{X}$
- ROI indicator  $I_i = I(i \in \Psi), i \in \mathcal{X}.$
- Spatio-temporal energy allocation policy

 $E_t(i) = E(t, i, y(1), \ldots, y(t-1))$ 

 $E_t(i) \ge 0$ ,  $\sum_t E_t(i) = E_i$ ,  $\sum_i E_i = E_T$ .

- Observations ~  $p(\{y(t)\}|\{I_i\},\{\lambda(i,t)\})$
- Uniform spatial allocation:  $E_i = E_T / |\mathcal{X}|$ .
- Ideal spatial allocation:  $E_i = E_T / |\Psi| I_i$ .
- Optimal N-step allocation: multistage stochastic control problem
- Simpler objective: find two-step optimal allocation that minimizes

$$J = \mathbf{E} \left[ \sum_{i} \frac{\nu I_i + (1 - \nu)(1 - I_i)}{E_i} \right], \quad s.t. \quad \sum_{i} E_i = E_T$$



## **Optimal allocation strategy**

Step 1: Allocate  $\varepsilon_1^*$  to each cell.

Step 2: Given y(1) derive  $w_i$  via  $P_{I_i|y_i(1)}$  defined in (24), then sort the  $w_i$ 's.

Step 3: Use  $\varepsilon_1^*$  and the ordered statistic  $w_{\tau(i)}$  to derive  $k_0$  using (27) and (28).

Step 4: Given  $k_0$ , define  $\varepsilon(i, 2)$  the allocated energy to cell i as

$$\varepsilon(\tau(i), 2) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_T - k_0 \varepsilon_1^*}{\sum_{j=k_0+1}^Q \sqrt{w_{\tau(j)}}} \sqrt{w_{\tau(i)}} - \varepsilon_1^*\right) I(i > k_0).$$
(32)

$$w_i = \nu p_{I_i|y(1)} + (1 - \nu)(1 - p_{I_i|y(1)}).$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=k_0+1}^{Q} \sqrt{w_{\tau(i)}}}{\sqrt{w_{\tau(k_0+1)}}} < \frac{\varepsilon_T}{\varepsilon_1} - k_0 \leqslant \frac{\sum_{i=k_0+1}^{Q} \sqrt{w_{\tau(i)}}}{\sqrt{w_{\tau(k_0)}}}.$$
(28)

# Discussion

- Objective function J only depends on the cumulative energy allocated to each voxel in the image volume (deferred reward)
- Features of optimal two-step policy
  - assigns energy to regions with high posterior probability of containing targets
  - minimizes the Chernoff bound and the CRB under suitable Gaussian measurement model.
  - an index policy with threshold k0
  - can be interpreted as Bayesian version of Posner's two-step likelihoodbased search algorithm: E. Posner, "Optimal search procedures," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 157–160, July 1963.
- Computational requirements of two-step optimal policy
  - Computation of posterior distribution of scatter centers at a given voxel (O(N))
  - Computation of threshold k0 (O(NlogN))
  - Specification of parameter  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$
- Parameter v controls the tradeoff between "exploration and exploitation" in searching over the image volume

# For known target task performance optimized for v=1



### SAR Imaging Example









Wide area acquisition



Energy allocation at 2<sup>nd</sup> step



#### Optimal 2-step acquisition

## Comparisons



## Wide area SAR acquisition

Optimal two step SAR acquisition

Overall energy allocated is identical in both cases

# **Synergistic Activities**

- Sequential resource allocation (ARO MURI)
  - Optimal dwell (temporal energy allocation) [Rangarajan07]
    - Sequential decisions framework
    - Packetization of energy with optimal stopping can provide gains of more than 5dB in MSE, 2dB in detection performance
  - Optimal search (spatial energy allocation) [Bashan07]
    - Bayesian adaptive sampling framework
    - Near-optimal energy/time allocation achievable by linear complexity algorithm
- Sparsity penalized inverse scattering (ARO MURI)
  - Bayesian likelihood model with LAZE sparsity-inducing prior
  - Optimization transfer approach combines iterative Born and sparsity inducing prior into monotone single iteration [Raich, Bagci, Michielssen]
- Multi-static SAR imaging (GD)
  - Hybrid CR bound derived for multi-static SAR [M. Davis, GD]
  - Currently being evaluated to predict performance limits: what are calibration requirements for improvement over monostatic systems



#### Foundations and Applications of Sensor Management

Publisher: Springer - To appear late 2007

#### Editors: A. Hero, D. Castanon, D. Cochran, K. Kastella

#### Table of contents

i. Preface ii. Symbol index 1. Overview of Book 2. Stochastic Control for Sensor Management 3. Information Theoretic Approaches 4. Joint Multi-Target Particle Filtering 5. POMDP Approximations using Simulation and Heuristics 6. Multi-Armed Bandit Problems 7. Applications of Multi-Armed Bandits to Sensor Management 8. Active Learning and Sampling 9. Plan-in Advance Learning 10. Sensor Scheduling in Radar 11. Defense Applications Appendices Bibliography Subject index

Doron Blatt - DRW Holdings David Castanon - BU Rui Castro - UWisconsin Larry Carin - Duke Edwin Chong - CSU Doug Cochran - ASU Stephen Howard - DSTO Keith Kastella - GD-AIS Chris Kreucher - GD-AIS Al Hero - UMichigan Xuejun Liao - Duke Aditya Mahajan - UMichigan Mark Morelande - UMelbourne Bill Moran - UMelbourne Rob Nowak - UWisconsin Bob Washburn - Parietal Systems Sofia Suvorova - UMelbourne Demos Teneketzis - UMichigan Stan Musick - AFRL Yan Zhang - Humana

# Personnel

- Supported by MURI grant:
  - 2006-2007: R. Rangarajan (now at Cisco)
  - 2007-2008: E. Bashan (3<sup>rd</sup> year Grad student)
- Other
  - H. Bagci (Michigan)
  - E. Michielssen (Michigan)
  - R. Raich (Oregon State Univ)
  - S. Damelin (Georgia Southern)
  - Venkat Chandrasekeran (MIT Grad Student)

# Publications (2006-2007)

- Appeared
  - R. Rangarajan, A.O. Hero and R. Raich, "Optimal sequential design of experiments for estimation in linear models," IEEE Journ. Selected Topics in Signal Processing (JSTSP), July 2007
  - R. Rangarajan, "Resource constrained adaptive sensing," PhD Thesis, University of Michigan, July 2007.
- In cogitation or preparation
  - E. Bashan, R. Raich and A.O. Hero, "Efficient search under resource constraints," working draft.
  - A.O. Hero, V. Chandrasekaran, and A. Willsky, "Structured dimensionality reduction," working draft.
  - R. Raich, J. Costa, S. Damelin, and A.O. Hero, "Classification constrained dimensionality reduction," working draft.